Career Development Workshop

Editing Your Own Papers and Proposals: How to Wow Reviewers and Aid Readers

2014 AAAS Annual Meeting

Barbara Gastel

Professor, Texas A&M University, and INASP Associate, AuthorAID bgastel@cvm.tamu.edu or bgastel@inasp.info

Some Basic Checklists

(Please add to these lists or otherwise adapt them to suit your needs.)

Scientific Papers: Content and Organization—20 Questions (including 3 of your own)

- 1. Does the title accurately and concisely reflect the content?
- 2. Are the appropriate people listed as authors?
- 3. Does the introduction provide sufficient context?
- 4. Does the introduction make clear what gap the current research is intended to fill?
- 5. Does the introduction indicate the objectives, hypotheses, or research questions?
- 6. Does the methods section provide sufficient information to replicate the research?
- 7. Does the methods section provide sufficient information to evaluate the research?
- 8. In the methods section, were sources of equipment, organisms, reagents, etc identified?
- 9. If the research was on humans or animals, were appropriate approvals noted?
- 10. Are the results presented in a logical order?
- 11. Are the results presented in appropriate detail?
- 12. Were appropriate statistical methods used?
- 13. Does the discussion address the questions or hypotheses posed in the introduction?
- 14. Does the discussion put the results in sufficient context?
- 15. If appropriate, does the discussion address strengths and weaknesses of the research?
- 16. Have the appropriate parties been acknowledged?
- 17. Does the abstract accurately indicate the content of the paper?
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.

Grant Proposals: Content and Organization—20 Questions (including 3 of your own)

- 1. Are the goals or hypotheses clear?
- 2. Is the originality of the work apparent?
- 3. Is the proposed work clearly relevant to the mission of the funding source?
- 4. Is the importance of the proposed work explained?
- 5. Is sufficient context provided?
- 6. Is the amount of proposed work realistic?
- 7. Is it clear that the personnel are capable of doing the proposed work?
- 8. Are sufficient justifications provided for choices?

- 9. Is sufficient supporting evidence included?
- 10. Is sufficient justification provided for budgetary items?
- 11. If there will be cost sharing, is sufficient information provided about it?
- 12. If preliminary studies are required or advisable, is there enough information on them?
- 13. If a timeline would be advisable, is one included?
- 14. If evaluation plans are needed, are they sufficient?
- 15. If dissemination plans should be included, are they sufficient?
- 16. Does the title clearly and accurately convey the content?
- 17. Is the abstract informative and clear?
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.

Crafting of the Document

- 1. Are ideas presented in a logical order?
- 2. Are there clear transitions from idea to idea?
- 3. Are overviews presented before details?
- 4. Are paragraphs an appropriate length?
- 5. Do paragraphs have strong topic sentences?
- 6. Are sentences an appropriate length?
- 7. Are the grammar, spelling, punctuation, and word usage correct throughout?
- 8. Are the antecedents of all pronouns clear?
- 9. Are appropriate verb tenses used?
- 10. Are citations and references in the required formats?

Other Aspects

- 1. Do the content and technical level suit the audience?
- 2. Is all the logic clear and correct?
- 3. Is the information consistent throughout?
- 4. Are all the figures and tables necessary?
- 5. Should any figures or tables be added?
- 6. Are all cited items listed in the reference list?
- 7. Are all items in the reference list cited in the paper or proposal?
- 8. Are you comfortable with everything about the paper or proposal?
- 9. If the journal or funding agency provided a checklist, did you use it?
- 10. Have all instructions been followed?

10 Common Writing Problems to Avoid or Remedy

- 1. pompous wording
- 2. excessive jargon
- 3. excessive use of acronyms (especially newly coined ones)
- 4. failure to define acronyms
- 5. wordiness
- 6. overly long and convoluted sentences
- 7. lack of focus
- 8. poor parallelism
- 9. failure to follow good models
- 10. failure to follow instructions

Pointers for Writing Readably

- 1. Provide sufficient context.
- 2. Provide overviews before details.
- 3. Use structural devices such as headings, white space, and numbering.
- 4. Consider using italics or boldface (for example, for key terms).
- 5. Make effective use of parallelism.
- 6. Follow expected formats.
- 7. Use a standard typeface.
- 8. Avoid small type and small margins.
- 9. If possible, use an unjustified ("ragged") right margin.
- 10. Follow the tips below for making wording more concise.

Tips for Making Writing More Concise

- 1. In general, use the shorter word.
- 2. Condense wordy phrases.
- 3. Delete redundant words.
- 4. Use verbs, not nouns made from them.
- 5. Say what things are, not what they're not.

Suggestions for Non-Native Speakers of English

- 1. Read, read in English.
- 2. Remember: Content, clarity, and organization are the key.
- 3. Prepare a personal glossary of common terms and phrases in your research area.
- 4. Write simply.
- 5. Be alert for aspects of English wording that may tend to pose problems (for example, articles, prepositions, verb tenses).
- 6. Be aware of English-language norms for sentence structure and sentence length.
- 7. Realize that writing in English is more direct than that in many other languages.
- 8. Take special care to avoid plagiarism.
- 9. Check spacing when proofreading your work.
- 10. Learn from revisions that editors have made in your work.

Some Resources

Journals' Instructions to Authors

- available on journals' websites
- should be followed carefully

Style Manuals—for example:

- The ACS Style Guide (from the American Chemical Society)
- AMA Manual of Style (from the American Medical Association)
- The Chicago Manual of Style
- Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association
- *Scientific Style and Format* (from the Council of Science Editors)

The Elements of Style (http://www.bartleby.com/141/)

First edition of a classic book on basics of English-language writing.

OneLook Dictionary Search (http://www.onelook.com/)

Website for looking up English-language words in multiple dictionaries.

Grammar Girl (http://www.quickanddirtytips.com/grammar-girl)

Website providing guidance on grammar, punctuation, word choice, etc.

Basic Punctuation (http://www.uvu.edu/owl/infor/Basic%20Punctuation%202013.pdf)

Handout on use of punctuation marks in English-language writing. Includes examples.

Academic Phrasebank (http://www.phrasebank.manchester.ac.uk/)

A collection of many phrases useful in writing in English about research.

UsingEnglish.com (http://www.usingenglish.com/)

Resource for non-native users of English.

Writing Centers Online (http://writingcenters.org/resources/writing-centers-online/)

Links to websites of academic writing centers. Many resources can be accessed through the writing-center websites.

How to Recognize Plagiarism (http://www.authoraid.info/en/resources/details/712/)

Tutorial on avoiding plagiarism.

AuthorAID (http://www.authoraid.info/en/)

Project primarily to help researchers in developing countries to write about and publish their work. Website includes resources that can help authors in any country.

Also: Any of the many good books available on scientific writing.

Thank you!